

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/197,056	11/20/1998	STEPHEN JAMES RUSSELL	3789/77553	9864
75	590 03/28/2002			
KATHLEEN M WILLIAMS BANNER AND WITCOFF LTD 28TH FLOOR 28 STATE STREET		EXAMINER		
			WILSON, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	. UZ1U Y		1632	
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002	23

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

policant(s)

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/197,056

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Michael C. Wilson

Art Unit 1632

Russell et al.

the course short with the correspondence address	j
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED Mar 12, 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment which places the application in condition for	,
ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed difficult filed Request for Continued Examination	
RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final reply expire later than SIX months.	ıl
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the depending amount of the fee. The extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply original appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the Single Leicotion; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	illy
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Mar 12, 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in a contract of the appeal.	
 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees. 	1
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);	
the control the increase of new matter (See NOTE below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: the limitations proposed would require a new search and indefiniteness rejections not previously required.	_
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in	a
separate timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).	
6. X The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) x request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the	е
application in condition for allowance because: <u>applicants arguements are directed toward the proposed claims which have not been entered. The pending claims</u>	<u></u>
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	∌d
8. \(\sigma\) For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16, and 18-20	
9. The proposed drawing correction filed ona) has b) has not been approved by the Exam	ine
10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
11. \(\text{\titt{\text{\titt{\text{\titt{\text{\titt{\text{\titt{\text{\titt{\tex{\tex	



#19 3/18/02

Atty. Docket No.:

4219/1360

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of:

Russell, et al.

Serial No.:

09/197,056

Filed:

November 20, 1998

Entitled:

Improvements in or Relating to

Expression of Immunogenic

Substances

Examiner:

M. Wilson

Group Art Unit:

1633

Conf. No.:

N/A

OZ MAR 14

CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY

I hereby certify that this paper is being delivered via hand-delivery to the Commissioner for Patents, to the attention of Examiner M.Wilson, Art Unit 1633, on the date shown below.

BARBARA J. HENDLE
Name of Person Mailing Paper

Signature of Person Mailing Paper

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Enclosed for filing in the above-identified patent application, are documents that were omitted from our response to office action submitted on March 12, 2002; kindly hand deliver the following documents to Examiner M. Wilson:

- 1. Certified copies of United Kingdom applications 9718872.6, filed September 6, 1997, and 9723448.8, filed November 7, 1997, to which the instant application claims priority, are filed herewith; and
- 2. Return Postcard.

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment in the total fees to Deposit Account No. 16-0085, Reference 4219/1360. A duplicate of this transmittal letter is enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 13, 2002

Name: Kathleen M. Williams

Registration No.: 34,380 Customer No.: 29933

Palmer & Dodge LLP

111 Huntington Avenue Boston, MA 02199-7613

Tel: 617-239-0100